Prof. Kirstin Hagelskjær Petersen

kirstin@cornell.edu

# ECE 4160/5160 MAE 4910/5910

# Map Representations, Graphs and Graph Search



## Outline of the next module on Navigation

- Local planners
- Global localization and planning
  - Map representations
    - Continuous
    - Discrete
    - Topological
  - Maps as graphs
    - Graph Search Algorithms
      - Breadth First Search
      - Depth First Search
      - Dijkstras
      - A\*



Localization, Sensor and motion models, SLAM Fast Robots

个

# Navigation

• Navigation breaks down to: Localization, Map Building, Path Planning



#### **Map Representation**

- (a) Building plan
- (b) line-based map
- (c) occupancy grid-based map
- (d) topological map

#### Important properties

- Memory allocation
- Computation







#### **Continuous Representations**

- Exact decomposition of the environment
- Used mainly in 2D representations
- Closed-world assumption
- Storage proportional to object density
- Example: Continuous line representations
  - Using range finders, we can extract lines/line segments in the environment







#### **Fixed Decomposition**

- Tessellate the world at a fixed resolution
- Approximate features given the resolution
- Most commonly used: Occupancy grid





#### **Fixed Decomposition**





#### Adaptive Cell Decomposition

• Adapt cell size to features





#### **Topological Decomposition**

- A topological representation is a graph that specifies nodes and edges
  - Nodes denote areas in the environment
  - Edges describe environment connectivity
- Robots can...
  - ...detect their current position in terms of the nodes of the topological graph
  - ...travel between nodes using robot
    motion





#### **Topological Decomposition**

- A topological representation is a graph that specifies nodes and edges
  - Nodes denote areas in the environment
  - Edges describe environment connectivity
- Robots can...
  - ...detect their current position in terms of the nodes of the topological graph
  - …travel between nodes using robot motion
- Typical for 3D maps





#### How to represent the robot pose?

- Physical robots take up space
- Expand obstacles
- Represent maps in configuration space instead of Euclidean space





#### **Map Representation Considerations**

- The precision of the map must appropriately match the precision with which the robot needs to achieve its goals
- The precision of the map and the type of features represented must match the precision and data types returned by the robot's sensors
- The complexity of the map representation has direct impact on the computational complexity of reasoning about mapping, localization, and navigation



Prof. Kirstin Hagelskjær Petersen

kirstin@cornell.edu

# ECE 4160/5160 MAE 4910/5910

# **Constructing Graphs**



#### Modelling path planning as a graph search problem



#### Modelling path planning as a graph search problem





#### **Graph Construction**

• Transform continuous/discrete/topological maps to a discrete graph

0

- Why?
  - Model the path planning problem as a search problem
  - Graph theory has lots of tools
  - Real-time capable algorithms
  - Can accommodate for evolving maps
  - 1. Divide space into simple, connected regions, or "cells"
  - 2. Determine adjacency of open cells
  - 3. Construct a connectivity graph
  - 4. Find cells with initial and goal configuration
  - 5. Search for a path in the connectivity graph to join them
  - 6. From the sequence of cells, compute a path within each cell
    - e.g. passing through the midpoints of cell boundaries or by sequence of wall following movements

🔊 Fast Robots

## **Geometry-Based Planners**

## **Topological Maps**

- Good abstract representation
- Tradeoff in # of nodes
  - Complexity vs. accuracy
  - Efficient in large, sparse environments
  - Loss in geometric precision
- Edges can carry weights
- Con: Limited information





#### **Fixed Cell Decomposition**





#### Adaptive Cell Decomposition





#### **Trapezoidal Cell Decomposition**







### Visibility Graphs

Ioannis Rekleitis, South Carolina

- Connect initial and goal locations with all visible vertices
- Connect each obstacle vertex to every visible obstacle vertex
- Remove edges that intersect the interior of an obstacle
- Plan on the resulting graph





#### **Sampling-Based Planners**

- Rather than computing the C-Space explicitly, we sample it
- Often efficient in high dimensional spaces
- Compute if a robot configuration has collisions
  - Just requires forward kinematics
  - (Local path plans between configurations)
- Examples
  - Probabilistic Roadmaps (PRM)
  - Rapidly Exploring Random Trees (RRT)



Configurations are sampled by picking coordinates at random





- Configurations are sampled by picking coordinates at random
- Sampled configurations are tested for collision





- Configurations are sampled by picking coordinates at random
- Sampled configurations are tested for collision
- Each configuration is linked by straight paths to its nearest neighbors





- Configurations are sampled by picking coordinates at random
- Sampled configurations are tested for collision
- Each configuration is linked by straight paths to its nearest neighbors
- The collision-free links are retained as local paths to form the PRM





- Configurations are sampled by picking coordinates at random
- Sampled configurations are tested for collision
- Each configuration is linked by straight paths to its nearest neighbors
- The collision-free links are retained as local paths to form the PRM
- The start and goal configurations are included as milestones





- Configurations are sampled by picking coordinates at random
- Sampled configurations are tested for collision
- Each configuration is linked by straight paths to its nearest neighbors
- The collision-free links are retained as local paths to form the PRM
- The start and goal configurations are included as milestones
- The PRM is searched for a path from start to goal





- Considerations
  - Single query/multi query
  - How are nodes placed?
    - Uniform sampling strategies
    - Non-uniform sampling strategies
  - How are local neighbors found?
  - How is collision detection performed?
    - Dominates time consumption in PRMs







## **Rapidly Exploring Random Trees (RRT)**



- 1. Maintain a tree rooted at the starting point
- 2. Choose a point at random from free space
- 3. Find the closest configuration already in the tree



4. Extend the tree in the direction of the new configuration /

## Rapidly Exploring Random Trees (RRT) – Uniform/biased sampling

2



Aaron Becker, UH, Wolfram Player example

## **Rapidly Exploring Random Trees (RRT) - Considerations**

- Sensitive to step-size (Δq)
  - Small: many nodes, closely spaced, slowing down nearest neighbor computation
  - Large: Increased risk of suboptimal plans / not finding a solution
- How are samples chosen?
  - Uniform sampling may need too many samples to find the goal
  - Biased sampling towards goal can ease this problem
- How are closest neighbors found?
- How are local paths generated?
- Variations
  - RRT Connect, A\*-RRT, Informed RRT\*, Real-Time RRT\*, Theta\*-RRT, etc.



Prof. Kirstin Hagelskjær Petersen kirstin@cornell.edu

# ECE 4160/5160 MAE 4910/5910

# Fast Robots Graph Search



#### Modelling path planning as a graph search problem





## **Graph Search**

- What is the simplest thing to do?
  - Random or brute force search
- Other methods?
  - Uninformed search
    - Depth First Search (DFS)
    - Breadth First Search (BFS)
    - Dijsktra's Search (LCFS)
  - Informed Search
    - Greedy
    - A\*
    - (and many more)





## **Comparing Search Algorithms**

Vocabulary

• Node, edge, parents/children, branching factor, depth

Definitions

- Complete
  - Guaranteed to find a solution in finite time
- Time complexity
  - Worst-case run time
- Space complexity
  - Worst-case memory
- Optimality
  - A search is optimal if it is complete, and only returns cost-minimizing solutions





## Algorithms and Search

#### • What is the simplest thing to do?

- Random or brute force search
- How many grid traversals will brute force take?
  - First establish a search order
  - Advance x first, then increment y and decrease x, etc.

#### Search order: N, E, S, W

#### Find a goal

y





## **Algorithms and Search**

- What is the simplest thing to do?
  - Random or brute force search
- Other methods?
  - Depth First Search (DFS)

Search order: N, E, S, W

#### Find a goal





 $\Lambda$ 

y



## **Algorithms and Search**

- What is the simplest thing to do?
  - Random or brute force search
- Other methods?
  - Depth First Search (DFS)
  - Breadth First Search (BFS)

#### Search order: N, E, S, W

### Find a goal

Х

10	14		
6	11	*	
3	7	12	
1	4	8	13
S	2	5	9



Search order: N, E, S, W

Find a goal





y





Search order: N, E, S, W

#### Find a goal



Х

(0,0) (0,1) (1,0)(0,2) (1,1)(1,2) (0,3) (2,1) (0,4) Why am I not also adding (1,0)?

 $\bullet$ 

frontier

and so on...



#### Search order: N, E, S, W

## **Breadth First Search (BFS)**

Find a goal

Х





#### and so on...



## Search Algorithms, General

- Common graph structure
  - For every node, n
  - you have a set of actions, a
  - that moves you to a new node, n'





### Find a goal





Y

## Search Algorithms, General

```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while (frontier not empty)
  n = pull state from frontier
  append n to visited
  if n = goal, return solution
  for all actions in n
     n' = a(n)
     if n' not visited
           append n' to frontier
```





How much space to allocate to your buffers?



```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while (frontier not empty)
  n = pull state from frontier
  append n to visited
  if n = goal, return solution
  for all actions in n
     n' = a(n)
     if n' not visited
           append n' to frontier
```





Fast Robots

```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while (frontier not empty)
  n = pull state from frontier
  append n to visited
  if n = goal, return solution
  for all actions in n
     n' = a(n)
     if n' not visited
           append n' to frontier
```



```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while(frontier not empty)
  n = pull state from frontier
  append n to visited
  if n = goal, return solution
  for all actions in n
     n' = a(n)
     if n' not visited
           append n' to frontier
```





Fast Robots

```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while(frontier not empty)
  n = pull state from frontier
  append n to visited
  if n = goal, return solution
  for all actions in n
     n' = a(n)
     if n' not visited
           append n' to frontier
```

ted	visit	er	rontie	f
0	0,0		0,2	
1	0,1		1,1	
2 Y	0,2		1,0	
Y	X*Y			



Fast Robots

```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while(frontier not empty)
  n = pull state from frontier
  append n to visited
  if n = goal, return solution
  for all actions in n
     n' = a(n)
     if n' not visited
           append n' to frontier
```

0.3 0.0
0,0
<b>1,2</b> 0,1
1,0 0,2
1,0 0,3



```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while (frontier not empty)
  n = pull state from frontier
  append n to visited
  if n = goal, return solution
  for all actions in n
     n' = a(n)
     if n' not visited
           append n' to frontier
```

frontie	er v	risited	a	
0,4		0,0	$\uparrow$	
1,3		0,1		
1,2		0,2	У	S
1,0		0,3		X
1,0				
etc				



## Frontier Buffer?

• Last-In First-Out (LIFO) Buffer



- Is it complete? ullet
  - Yes, but only on finite graphs

(0,1)

(1,2)

(1,1)

(1,0)

graph

- What is the time complexity? ullet
  - $O(b^m)$ ullet
- What is the space complexity? igodol

(0,2)

(1,3)

and so on...

O(bm)ullet

(0,3)

Fast Robots

(0.4)

UNT





## **Breadth First Search (BFS)**

```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while(frontier not empty)
n = pull state from frontier
if n is goal, return solution
for all actions in n
n' = a(n)
if n' not visited
append n' to frontier
```



First-In First-Out (FIFO) Buffer



## **Breadth First Search (BFS)**

- Is it complete?
  - Yes, as long as *b* is finite
- Is it optimal?
  - Yes
- What is the time complexity?
  - O(*b<sup>m</sup>*)
- What is the space complexity?

(0,2)

(0,3)

• O(b<sup>m</sup>) (0,0)

(0,1)

(3,1)





## **Type of Buffer?** First-In First-Out (FIFO) Buffer



Memory grows exponentially with the depth of the graph

(1,1)

# **BFS: Memory and Computation**







## **Uninformed Search Algorithms, General**

- When is DFS appropriate?
  - If the memory is restricted
  - If solutions tend to occur at the same depth in the tree
- When is DFS inappropriate?
  - If some paths have infinite length / if the graph contains cycles
  - If some solutions are very deep, while others are very shallow
- When is BFS appropriate?
  - If you need to find the shortest path
  - If memory is not a problem
  - If some solutions are shallow
  - If there might be infinite paths
- When is BFS inappropriate?
  - If memory is limited / if the branching factor is very large
    - If solutions tend to be located deep in the tree



## ECE4160/5160 – MAE 4910/5910 Fast Robots

- Is BFS / DFS possible for your task on the Artemis?
  - What is the maximum branching factor?
    - b = 4
  - What is the longest path?
    - $m \sim 20*20 = 400$
  - Depth First Search
    - Frontier: O(*bm*) = 1,600 nodes
    - Float -> 6.4kB
    - Artemis memory?
      - 1MB flash and 384k RAM
  - Breadth First Search
    - Frontier:  $O(b^m) = 4^{20*20} = 6.7e240$  nodes





# **BFS: Memory and Computation**









## **Lowest-Cost First Search**

• Consider parent cost!



What node to expand next?



Data structure

- n.state
- n.parent
- n.cost
- n.action

# What cost heuristic could we add?

- Go straight, cost 1
- Turn one quadrant, cost 1

(1,4)	(2,4)		(3,4)
(1,3)	<del>-R•</del>		(3,3)
(1,2)	(2,	2)	(3,2)
G <	(2,	1)	(3,1)
	(2,0)		

## **Lowest-Cost First Search**

• Consider parent cost!

```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while(frontier not empty)
   n = pull state from frontier
   visited.append(n)
   if n = qoal, return solution
   for all actions in n
      n' = a(n)
      if n' not visited
            priority = heuristic(goal,n')
            frontier.append(priority)
```



# What cost heuristic could we add?

- Go straight, cost 1
- Turn one quadrant, cost 1

(1,4)	(2,4)		(3,4)
(1,3)	<del>-R•</del>		(3,3)
(1,2)	(2,	2)	(3,2)
G <	(2,	1)	(3,1)
	(2,0)		

## **Lowest-Cost First Search**

- Is it complete?
  - Yes, as long as path costs are positive
- What is the time complexity?
  - O(*b<sup>m</sup>*)
- What is the space complexity?
  - O(*b<sup>m</sup>*)





## **Could we be smarter?**

- Sure, you know the graph and you know the goal is!
- ...Informed search
  - Consider parent cost, and..
  - ..estimate the shortest path to the "goal"
- Assign a value to the frontier
  - Pick frontier closest to the goal (minimize distance)



## **Informed Search**

• Greedy Search



#### Search order: N, E, S, W



Х



#### Search order: N, E, S, W

## **Informed Search**

• Greedy Search





## **Informed Search**

- Greedy Search
  - Complete?
    - No
  - Time complexity?
    - O(*b<sup>m</sup>*)
  - Space complexity?
    - O(*b<sup>m</sup>*)
  - Optimal?
    - no...

#### Search order: N, E, S, W





 $\Lambda$ 

Y



# Search Algorithms, General

- Breadth First Search
  - Complete and optimal
  - ...but searches everything
- Lowest-Cost First Algorithm *Considers parent cost* 
  - Complete and optimal
  - ...but it wastes time exploring in directions that aren't promising
- Greedy Search Considers goal
  - Complete (in most cases)
  - ...only explores promising directions

Can we do better?



## **Informed Search**

• A\* ("A-star")

```
n = state(init)
frontier.append(n)
while (frontier not empty)
   n = pull state from frontier
   if n = goal, return solution
   for all actions in n
      n' = a(n)
      if ((n' not visited or
         (visited and n'.cost < n old.cost))
            priority = heuristic(goal,n')+cost
            frontier.append(priority)
            visited.append(n')
```

#### Search order: N, E, S, W

#### Find a treasure





## **Informed Search**

A\* ("A-star") ullet

Fast Robots

Search order: N, E, S, W



## A\* Search

• What if the heuristic is too optimistic?

admissible heuristic

inadmissible heuristic

- Estimated cost < true cost
- What if the heuristic is too pessimistic?
  - Estimated cost > true cost
  - No longer guaranteed to be optimal
- What if the heuristic is just right?
  - Pre-compute the cost between all nodes
  - Feasible for you?



## **Informed Search**

• A\* ("A-star")

Fast Robots

Cost and goal heuristic



- Complete?
  - Yes!
- Time Complexity
  - O(*b<sup>m</sup>*)
- Space Complexity
  - O(*b<sup>m</sup>*)
- Optimal?
  - Yes, if the heuristic is admissible!

## Summary







∧ \*□ 6. (1) 10/29/2018